25X1

NUTES, COINS SECURITY PANEL MEETING

27 August 1970

| 1. | The | meeting | g was | he l d | at | 3.000 | hours | at | HQ | Building, | CIA. | In |
|----------|-----|---------|-------|---------------|----|-------|-------|----|----|-----------|------|----|
| · | att | endance | were | : | | • | | • | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | | | | |

- 2. The Minutes of the previous meeting were approved unchanged.
- 3. A discussion of the need-to-know controls paper was held. It was suggested by ______ that the checking to be performed by each system to be expanded to cover a full validity check of the query, if each participating agency would supply to all the other agencies the validating algorithm used by their system/query language as a FORTRAN package.

proposed that instead of passing the classification indicator in the message header, that the file names involved be passed from the transmitter; and again from the

25X1

25X1

CUMPIERILAL

Approved For Release 2005/08/03² CIA-RDP80B01139A000100070016-9

| system responding to the query. Using this mechanism would |
|---|
| permit a double check to be madefirst on the outbound side, |
| that the requestor was authorized access to the files, and |
| again on the inbound side that the requestor/terminal is |
| authorized to receive data from the files involved in the |
| request. The redundant information in the file names list |
| would catch transmission errors that might change the classi- |
| fication indicator, permitting potential misrouting of responses. |
| |

25X1

| agreed to the suggestion. It was agreed that the | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| DIA and CIA representatives would investigate as to whether | | | | | | | |
| the file name(s) are as easily available in their systems, | | | | | | | |
| and report at the next meeting. If it is feasible to use | | | | | | | |
| file names throughout, the need-to-know proposal will be | | | | | | | |
| revised accordingly. | | | | | | | |

- 4. The proposed panel charter revision was reviewed, with no changes recommended.
- 5. It was requested that the COINS project manager obtain copies.

 of the WARE Report, and the DCI Computer Security Policy paper
 for members of the panel.
- 6. The Panel notes with regret that DIA Member, will be leaving the Service soon, and no longer available to assist us.
- 7. The next meeting will be held on 1 October at 1000 hours at NSA.

 The NSA member will advice us of the member.

| | ACC A THE | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | OILC | J. 45000000000000000000000000000000000000 | mombox | • |
|-----|-----------|---------------------------------------|------|---|--------|---|
| - [| | | | | | |
| - 1 | | | | | | |
| - 1 | | | | | | |
| - 1 | | | | | | |
| - 1 | | | | | | |
| - 1 | | | | | | |
| ٠ | / . ' | Chairma | ın | | | |
| | 1 | 1 4 | • | | | |
| | | COTME | COOK | rittr. | Panal | |

25X1